

N O T E S

Venue: Vienna, EHF Office – Conference Room

Workshop: Saturday, January 10, 2009
Sunday, January 11, 2009

January 10th, 2009, morning session

1. Welcome – Who is who?

2. Introduction

- 2.1. History of the 'RC' Ton van Linder
 - van Linder informs briefly on the origins and 'roots' - see enclosure
- 2.2. Bologna process
- 2.3. ENSSEE / ECTS Ton van Linder
 - See presentation
- 2.5. UEFA Coaching Convention Piotr Maranda
 - See presentation

3. Discussion in working groups

- 3.1. How to evaluate the current status of 'RC'?
- 3.2. Measures for optimising the 'RC' practice in the EHF.
- 3.3. Reports in plenary

- ▶ No working groups have been organised – the discussions took place in plenary.
- ▶ Key question / problem occurred: how to recognise the licences requested at a certain level/s of performance in different countries

January 10th, 2009, afternoon session

- 2.4. EHF **CAN** – EHF Competence Academy & Network Helmut Höritsch
 - Höritsch provides the participants with information on the history and activities of the EHF CAN – see presentation
- 4.1. A current status report of 'RC' Doru Simion
 - See enclosure

Overview - summary, discussions & working session

- general impression – it would be useful to adhere to the 'RC'
- a couple of nations are (almost) fulfilling the 'RC' standard requirements concerning the coaches' education & instruction (ARM, EST, FIN, ITA, LAT, MNE, TUR)



European Handball Federation

2009 "RINCK" Convention Seminar for Non-Signatory Nations

10 – 11 January 2009 in Vienna, Austria

- different structure and philosophy: ENG, IRL, ITA - solutions / alternative options might be founded for a positive / constructive approach
- there is a strong tendency to built up special institutes / institutions for educating the coaches (ARM, FIN, MNE, TUR)
- (almost) general conviction: by being part of the 'RC', the possibility to reach the 'normal stage / standard' will be enlarged & speed – up
- still persisting the main concern (wearing specific aspects – nations): how to harmonise the existing differences (i.e. high qualified foreigner coaches (licensed in a special 'restrictive qualified' institute/institution) asked to teach and educate pupils / normal schools in the field of handball)
- a short but complete overview (with concrete examples) about 'S.M.A.R.T. Programme' & 'FOSTER Project' has been offered, as a realistic option and solution in reaching the standard and fulfilling the 'RC' standard requirements

Conclusion, follow – up

- The participants will provide the achieved information – internally consultation and discussions within each National Federation.
- By comparing the (different) way & methods of achieving the respective education, it seems that in fact it is more a question of bureaucracy than a real structural problem.
- Three nations showed strong interest in becoming as soon as possible a 'RC' signatory: ENG, MNE, and TUR.
- After working at all necessary levels intensively and pro-active, **end of May 2009**, should be (unanimously accepted) **the deadline** for providing the EHF with the respective statements / documents.

Vienna, 12 / 29 January 2009

Enclosures

For Notes: D. Simión & N. Huang